

Measuring London Dispersion Interactions in Solution

Project Manager
Prof. Dr. Peter R. Schreiner

Researchers
Finn Wilming

Project Term
2019 - 2022

Clusters
Goethe-HLR Frankfurt, FUCHS-CSC
Frankfurt

Software
GAUSSIAN

Institute
Institute of Organic Chemistry

University
Justus Liebig University Giessen




Table 1: *E/Z* equilibrium of 2,2'-substituted 9,9'-Bifluorenylidenes.

Introduction

Adding dispersion energy donors (DED) to a system can severely change the outcome of a reaction.^[1] The concept of a DED has only been established in recent years^[2], and since, several systems to quantify London dispersion in solution have been developed. However, many of them contain heteroatoms, which are able to participate in hydrogen bonding or dipolar interactions, making it difficult to differentiate between LD and other noncovalent interactions.^[3]

Methods

We are synthesizing 2,2'-substituted 9,9'-bifluorenylidene as hydrocarbon based dispersion balances. This system is easy to synthesize and, since it is based on *E/Z* isomerization, can be equilibrated thermally as well as photochemically. $\Delta G_{E/Z}$ values are obtained from NMR-data and used to understand how strongly the different substituents influence the position of the equilibrium state. As substituents we are using linear, cyclic and cage-type hydrocarbons. We are performing DFT computations of our system to compare the obtained computational data with our experimental results.

Results

First results show that the Z-isomer is generally preferred, supporting our working hypothesis that the observed equilibrium is mainly influenced by LD. This trend can also be seen for the computational data.

Outlook

Solvent- and temperature dependent measurements of the *E/Z* equilibrium of several different 2,2'-substituted 9,9'-Bifluorenylidene will be carried out soon. This allows us to get a good insight in how London dispersion interactions behave in solution.

Reference

[1] S. Rösel, J. Becker, W. D. Allen, P. R. Schreiner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 43, 14421 – 14432. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201100127>

[2] S. Grimme, R. Huenerbein, S. Ehrlich, ChemPhysChem 2011, 12, 1258 – 1261. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201100127>

[3] M. A. Strauss, H. A. Wegner, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 295–302. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.201800970>

Last Update: 2022-06-14 19:05